SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/737/2026 Shanu --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Bharat Chaudhary, Advocate for the applicant. Mr. Vipul Painuli, A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. This first bail application has been moved by the applicant seeking regular bail in F.I.R./Case Crime No.371 of 2025, under Section 109, 352, 61(2) of B.N.S., 2023, registered at Police Station Kotwali Dehradun, District Dehradun. 3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case, has no criminal antecedents and has been languishing in judicial custody since 17.01.2026. It is further submitted that, as per the prosecution case, the applicant was allegedly driving the scooty, whereas the co-accused, namely, Suhail Khan, who has been assigned the specific role of using a firearm, resulting in injuries to the injured person, has already been enlarged on bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 08.10.2026 passed in BA1 No. 292 of 2026. It is, therefore, contended that, in view of the role attributed to the applicant and the principle of parity, the applicant is also entitled to be enlarged on bail. 4. Per contra, learned State Counsel has

vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

5. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the co-accused, who have been assigned the role of using firearm, has already been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court. The applicant has been in judicial custody since 17.01.2026. In the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the allegations and keeping in view the principle of parity, this Court is of the considered view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail.

6. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion as to the final merits of the case, this Court is of the view that applicant deserves bail at this stage.

7. The bail application is allowed.

8. Let the applicant be released on bail, on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned.

(Alok Mahra, J.)
27.10.2026

Arpan

ARPAN JAISWAL

Digitally signed by ARPAN JAISWAL
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=aa6e68a3895e41937c266c2306c4c48f3d65445e3a20d6d b2393198f9e45ba3e, postalCode=263001, st=UTTARAKHAND, serialNumber=06DFC1702286AE1DE215D6ED5454AC5109CB9874 4b5516df094AADA32CD3A66, cn=ARPAN JAISWAL
Date: 2026.10.27 18:29:45 +05'30'