



2026:UHC:3292

HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition Misc. Single No. 1187 of 2026

30 April, 2026

Vipin Kumar

--Petitioner

Versus

State Of Uttarakhand & others

--Respondents

Presence:-

Mr. Shobhit Saharia, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Verma, learned Additional Advocate General for the State.

Mr. Pankaj Bhatt, learned counsel holding brief of Mr. Sanjay Bhatt, learned counsel for the respondent-State Election Commission.

Mr. Arvind Vashisth, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Ms. Devanshi Joshi, learned counsel for the private respondent.

Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J. (Oral)

By means of present writ petition, petitioner has sought the following relief:-

“Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent no.2 for expeditious disposal of pending complaint of petitioner dated 05.12.2023 (annexure no.2 at page no.26 to 29 to this writ petition), which is with respect to appropriate legal action against respondent no.6 in true and lateral spirit of Section 90(4) of the Uttarakhand Panchayat Raj Act, 2016 (as amended).”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, despite the complaint submitted by the petitioner way back in the month of December 2023, respondent no. 2 has not taken any steps as mandated under Section 90(4) of the Uttarakhand Panchayat Raj Act, 2016 (as amended). He has confined his prayer to the extent that respondent no. 2 may be directed to decide the complaint of the petitioner dated 05.12.2023, annexed as annexure-



2026:UHC:3292

2 to the writ petition, within a stipulated time.

3. The prayer is quite innocuous which deserves acceptance.

4. Accordingly, writ petition is disposed of finally at the admission stage itself. Respondent no.2 is directed to decide the complaint of the petitioner dated 05.12.2023, annexure-2 to the writ petition, as expeditiously as possible, but not later than three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law, after hearing all stakeholders in the matter.

5. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of accordingly.

(Pankaj Purohit, J.)

30.04.2026

AK