SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/697/2026 Sabiha --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Ms. Manisha Bhandari, Senior Advocate, assisted by Mr. Pritish Arya, Advocate, holding brief of Mr. Shashwat Sidhant, Advocate for the applicant. Mr. Piyush Garg, Senior Advocate, assisted by Mr. Raghav Singhal, Advocate for the C.B.I. 2. This first bail application has been moved by the applicant seeking regular bail in F.I.R. No.0301 of 2025, under Section 12(1), 12(2) of the Uttarakhand Competitive Examination (Measures for Control and Prevention of Unfair Means in Recruitment) Act, 2023 and Section 61(2), 238, 241 and 318(4) of B.N.S., 2023, registered at Police Station C.B.I., A.C.B., Dehradun, District Dehradun. 3. Facts of the case, in brief, are that an F.I.R. was lodged on 22.09.2025 bearing F.I.R. No. 301 of 2025 at Police Station – Raipur, District Dehradun by one Girish Negi, S.H.O., Police Station Raipur, District Dehradun implicating four persons, namely, Suman, Khalid, Sabiha (applicant herein) and Heena and one unknown person for the offences punishable under Section 11 (1), 11 (2) and 12 (2) of the Uttarakhand Competitive Examination (Measures for Control and Prevention of Unfair Means in Recruitment)

			Act 2023. Thereafter, investigation was transferred to C.B.I. on the recommendation of the State Government. On completion of the investigation, charge sheet has been filed against three persons namely Suman, Khalid and Sabiha and Heena was exonerated, who was originally named in the F.I.R. 4. It is argued by the learned Senior Counsel for the applicant that applicant is languishing in jail since 24.09.2025 and though the charge sheet is already filed on 19.12.2025 and uptil date charges have been framed by the trial Court. She further submits that as per the charge sheet, there are in total 54 prosecution witnesses, therefore, there is no possibility that trial would be expedited soon. She further submits that co-accused Suman has already been granted bail by Coordinate Bench of this Court on 01.09.2026. 5. Learned Senior Counsel for the C.B.I. Mr. Piyush Garg has vehemently opposed the bail application and submits that on the date of examination, there were frequent chats in between Suman, Khalid and Sabiha wherein Khalid requested Suman to solve the question paper. Thereafter, Khalid sent the question paper to Sabiha, who in turn, forward the same to Suman on whatsapp and Suman after solving the question paper sent the answers to Sabiha, who in turn, forwarded the same to Khalid which is established from their mobile chats. He further submits that there is evidence against the applicant and other co-accused. He further submits that if the present applicant is enlarged on bail, there is possibility that she may tamper with the electronic evidence. He further submits that after collecting all credible evidence, charge sheet has been filed and there are in total 54 prosecution witnesses. 6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration that there is no substantial progress in the trial and there are 54 prosecution witnesses and

uptil date charges have been framed and date is fixed for examination of P.W.1. Furthermore, co-accused Suman has already been enlarged on bail by Coordinate Bench of this Court on 01.09.2026 and since the C.B.I. has already completed it's collection of digital evidence, therefore, if the applicant is enlarged on bail there is no risk of tampering with the evidence, therefore, this Court is of the view that the applicant deserves bail.

7. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the instant second bail application is allowed.

8. Let the applicant be released on bail, on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned, subject the following conditions:-

i. The applicant shall join the trial Court proceedings on each and every date without seeking any unnecessary adjournment.

ii. The applicant shall surrender her passport, if any, within one week from the date of her release and shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

iii. This order granting bail to the present applicant shall not be treated as a precedent in respect of other co-accused.

(Alok Mahra, J.)
27.10.2026

Arpan

ARPAN JAISWAL

Digitally signed by ARPAN JAISWAL
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=eabbd8a3895ef1937c266c23964c048f365445e3a20ddd5b7393398f9fe45ba3e, postalCode=263001, st=UTTARAKHAND, serialNumber=060FC17022BEA3DE213D68D9D454C3109C3987946351542F64AADA2C2CEA66, cn=ARPAN JAISWAL
Date: 2026.10.27 18:44:56 +05'30'