SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010064442026 **BA1/650/2026** Saurabh Maurya --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. D.N. Sharma and Ms. Manju Bahuguna, Advocates for the applicant. 2. Mr. Deepak Bisht, D.A.G. for the State. 3. The applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with FIR/Case Crime No. 293 of 2025, under Sections 137(2), 87, 65(1) of BNS and Section 5/6 of POCSO Act, registered at P.S.-Transit Camp, District U.S. Nagar, has sought his release on bail. 4. Initially, an FIR was filed by the father of the victim in which it was alleged that the victim, who was a minor, was induced by the applicant and applicant took her away with him. Thereafter, victim was recovered in the company of the applicant after five-six days of lodging of the FIR. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant and the victim were in love relationship with each other, which is corroborated by the statement of the victim recorded under Sections 180 and 183 of BNSS and also the statement of the victim recorded as PW2; that in her statement given before the Court, the victim has nowhere stated that she was sexually assaulted by the applicant; that the victim left her home on her own sweet will and

went alongwith the applicant; that the victim herself refused to undergo medical examination when she was recovered from the company of the applicant and as such in the absence of any medical report or any other corroborating material evidence, sexual assault upon the victim by the applicant cannot be demonstrated; that furthermore the applicant is in judicial custody since 09.10.2025, therefore, the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail.

6. Learned State Counsel, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the bail application.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the records.

8. Having considered the submissions, under the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the applicant and the victim were in love relationship with each other, which is corroborated by the statement of the victim recorded under Sections 180 and 183 of BNSS and also the statement of the victim recorded as PW2 and in her statement given before the Court, she has nowhere stated that she was sexually assaulted by the applicant and as such she left her home on her own sweet will and went alongwith the applicant, this Court is of the view that it is a case fit for bail and the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail.

9. The bail application is allowed.

10. Let the applicant be released on bail, on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned.

(Alok Mahra J.)
02.11.2026

Ujjwal