SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	UKHC010123192020 2026:UHC:9949 COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPSS/1578/2020 Jagdish Prasad --Petitioner **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent With **UKHC010138532020** **WPSS/1850/2020** Govind Singh --Petitioner **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent With **UKHC010138602020** **WPSS/1852/2020** Ratan Singh --Petitioner **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent With **UKHC010138622020** **WPSS/1853/2020** Dhummu --Petitioner **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent With **UKHC010138552020** **WPSS/1855/2020** Abbal Singh --Petitioner **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent With **UKHC010138792020** **WPSS/1857/2020** Chaundari Devi --Petitioner **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent With **UKHC010138702020** **WPSS/1860/2020** Manglu --Petitioner **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand Through The Principal Secretary

Public Works Department Pwd

--Respondent

With

UKHC010138642020

WPSS/1861/2020

Muli Devi --Petitioner

Versus

State Of Uttarakhand Through The Principal Secretary Public Works Department Pwd

--Respondent

With

UKHC010138662020

WPSS/1862/2020

Jai Singh --Petitioner

Versus

State Of Uttarakhand Through The Principal Secretary

--Respondent

With

UKHC010014082023

WPSS/153/2023

Ramesh Singh --Petitioner

Versus

State Of Uttarakhand

--Respondent

With

UKHC010033302023

WPSS/370/2023

Ramesh Singh --Petitioner

Versus

State Of Uttarakhand

--Respondent

With

UKHC010165452023

WPSS/1916/2023

Madan Singh --Petitioner

Versus

State Of Uttarakhand

--Respondent

Hon'ble Rakesh Thapliyal, J.

Mr. Tribhuwan Chandra Pandey and Mr. A.K. Tripathi, learned counsel for the petitioners.

2. Mr. Dinesh Bankoti, learned Brief Holder for the State.

3. In all these bunch of petitions common question of facts and law are involved. The petitioners are aggrieved

			with the order of recovery of excess payment. All these petitioners are retired persons. 4. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioners have not given any undertaking that if any excess payment the same is recoverable from them. He also submits that the identical issue has been dealt with by this Court as well as by the Coordinate Bench. Learned counsel place reliance to the judgment of the Coordinate Bench dated 18.09.2025 passed in bunch of petitions, the leading one is WPSS No.335 of 2023 as well as the judgment of Division Bench dated 19.08.2026 passed in WPSB No.541 of 2026 and also the judgment passed by this Court dated 13.10.2026 passed in WPSS No.1276 of 2024. He submits that since the issue as raised has already been decided, therefore, all these bunch of petitions be decided in terms of the aforesaid judgment. 5. Mr. Dinesh Bankoti, learned Brief Holder for the State have not disputed the aforesaid position. 6. In such view of the matter, all these bunch of petitions are disposed of in terms of the judgment passed by the Division Bench dated 19.08.2026 passed in WPSB No.541 of 2026 as well as in terms of the judgment passed by the Coordinate Bench dated 18.09.2025 in bunch of petitions, the leading one is WPSS No.335 of 2023 as well as in terms of the judgment passed by this Court dated 13.10.2026 in WPSS No.1276 of 2024.

			7. While disposing of these petitions the respondents are directed to redress the grievances of all the petitioners within a period of six weeks positively. **(Rakesh Thapliyal, J.)** 31.10.2026 Arti
