SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010029192026 **C528/293/2026** Ankit Singh --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Ms. Suraiya Naaz, Advocate for the applicant. 2. Ms. Minakshi Sharma, Brief Holder for the State. 3. Ms. Prabha Naithani, Advocate for the complainant/respondent no. 2. 4. The present criminal misc. application is filed with a prayer to set-aside the chargesheet, summoning/cognizance order as well as the entire proceedings of Special Criminal Case No. 2508 of 2025, under Sections 64 and 69 of BNS, pending in the court of learned ACJM, Haldwani, District Nainital, on the basis of compromise between the parties. 5. Now, Compounding Application is filed in the matter wherein it is prayed to compound the offences between the parties. 6. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the parties have amicably settled their dispute and have entered into a compromise.

			7. Both the parties are present before this Court and are duly identified by their respective counsel. Parties have also filed their respective affidavits stating the facts of compromise between them. 8. The Court interacted with the parties. Upon interaction, it is submitted that parties were in love relationship for last two years, but, due to some misunderstanding, the impugned FIR was lodged in the case; that both the parties were major at the time of incident; and now they have decided to part their ways and move on in their life. It is further submitted that now complainant has amicably settled her dispute with the applicant and she does not want to pursue with the criminal proceedings against the applicant. 9. At this stage, learned State Counsel raised a preliminary objection to the effect that the offences sought to be compounded are non-compoundable. 10. However, the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of B.S. Joshi and others Vs. State of Haryana reported in (2003) 4 S.C.C., Page 675, has permitted compounding of non-compoundable offences with the permission of Court. 11. Furthermore, Hon'ble Supreme Court, in a catena of its judgments, has observed that in cases where because of the compromise arrived at between the parties, possibility of conviction is remote and bleak, the High Court may quash the criminal proceedings as continuation of the same would cause great prejudice and injustice to the accused.

			12. Following the aforesaid ratio, the present compounding application is allowed. The offences between the parties are permitted to be compounded. As a result, entire proceedings of Special Criminal Case No. 2508 of 2025, under Sections 64 and 69 of BNS, pending in the court of learned ACJM, Haldwani, District Nainital, are hereby quashed *qua* the applicant. 13. Accordingly, the present criminal misc. application stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. **(Alok Mahra J.)** 04.11.2026 Ujjwal