



CRM-M-74120-2025

1

115

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-74120-2025

Decided on: 26.02.2026

Sahil Garg

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Amit Choudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Sahil Garg	252	13.12.2025	318(4), 61 of BNS	Guhla	Kaithal

2. On 01.01.2026, following order was passed:-

“ Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.252 dated 13.12.2025, registered under Section 318(4) and 61 of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Guhla, District Kaithal.

Notice of motion. Mr. Armaan Dahiya, AAG, Haryana, has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of the State. Both the parties have been heard and material



collected by the police during investigation has been perused.

The present case was registered on the basis of complaint given to the police by Surajbhan, proprietor of Keshav Food, Khushal Mazara Road, Cheeka with the allegations that 752.70 quintals of basmati rice was purchased by Ganga Overseas, Karnal from his firm, which has been recovered by the Jakhal police in FIR No.162 dated 03.12.2025 at Police Station Jakhal, District Fatehabad and in this regard, he had earlier given a complaint to DSP Guhla on 03.12.2025. It has been alleged that the basmati rice was obtained fraudulently by Ganga Overseas from his firm and action be taken against him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner has no concern with the firm namely Ganga Overseas, which is infact owned by his father. As per allegations in the FIR, the goods were purchased by Ganga Overseas and now the present FIR has been got registered in collusion with the police after a dispute arose over payment. The dispute is purely civil in nature. Learned counsel further contended that petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that in view of the gravity of offence, he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Adjourned to 26.02.2026 for filing status report.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject



CRM-M-74120-2025

3

to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

- i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;*
- iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section. ”*

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that, in compliance with the order dated 01.01.2026 passed by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation and has extended full cooperation. He, therefore, prays for confirmation of the interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Vijender Singh , submits that in pursuance of the directions issued by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the petitioner joined the investigation on 03.02.2026 and as of now, his custodial interrogation is not required.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 01.01.2026, passed by the co-ordinate bench of this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

**CRM-M-74120-2025****4**

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, present order would be subject to the submission of his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as non est automatically.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

26.02.2026

Rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**