



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-74109-2025 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 12.01.2026**

GURSAHIB SINGH SANDHU

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Mr. Karundeeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present 2nd petition has been filed by the petitioner, seeking grant of anticipatory bail, in case, FIR No.0124, dated 23.10.2024, under Sections 115(2), 118(1) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 191(3), 190, 118(2) of BNS (added later on), registered at Police Station Gidderbaha, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

2. In the first anticipatory bail petition, i.e., CRM-M-23827-2025, filed by the petitioner, this Court, after recording the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, issued notice to the State and directed the petitioner to join the investigation, vide order dated 07.05.2025.

Subsequently, on 08.10.2025, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner in the aforesaid case. Learned State counsel informed the Court that petitioner had neither joined the investigation nor

surrendered his passport. Consequently, the said petition was dismissed for want of prosecution, with the following observations:-

“2. On 06.08.2025, the Court was informed that though petitioner has joined investigation but neither he surrendered his passport to the Investigating Officer nor any affidavit of not possessing passport has been filed.

3. On 27.08.2025, after calling twice, the proceedings have been adjourned. On the previous date of hearing, this Court was informed that petitioner met with an accident and apart suffering injuries, he has been involved as accused also in another criminal case under Section 304-A of IPC, 1860. Order dated 29.08.2025, passed in that account is as under:-

"1. Counsel for the petitioner submits that after passing of order dated 06.08.2025 passed by this Court, petitioner met with an accident, on the very next day, i.e., 07.08.2025, and apart suffering of injuries by himself, criminal case FIR No. 133, dated 07.08.2025, under Section 304-A of IPC, 1860, registered at P.S. City Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, was also registered against him. He further submits that petitioner is ready to submit passport/affidavit, if one more chance is granted to him.

2. Allowed as prayed for, by way of last opportunity.

3. List again on 08.10.2025. Interim order to continue till then."

4. Today the application has been moved by the complainant for initiating the proceedings for forgery, as the Court has been misled by the petitioner-Gursahib Singh @ Gursahib Singh Sandhu. Neither the counsel representing the petitioner nor counsel for the applicant-complainant in CRM29241-2025 are present before this Court.

5. Therefore, the present application as well as the main case is dismissed for want of prosecution.

6. It would be open for the prosecution to proceed in accordance with law."

3. Even the application, i.e., CRM-44389-2025 (in CRM-M-23827-2025), filed by the petitioner under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023,

seeking restoration of the said anticipatory bail petition, was also dismissed by this Court, vide order dated 02.12.2025 on account of non-appearance of the petitioner.

Order dated 02.12.2025 passed in CRM-44389-2025 (in CRM-M-23827-2025) is reproduced here under:-

“i) Present application has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking restoration of the main case , which was dismissed for want of prosecution vide order dated 08.10.2025.

ii) In the application, i.e., CRM-44389-2025, seeking revival of the main case, following order was passed on 13.11.2025 :-

“1. Counsel for the applicant/petitioner seeks an adjournment to place on record medical document to show the injury and period of hospitalisation, and also to place on record copy of FIR, as well as to apprise this Court, as to whether petitioner has joined the investigation in the said case, or not.

2. On request, adjourned to 02.12.2025.

3. Let copy of the main petition, along with appended documents, as well as present application, be handed over to learned State counsel, during the day course.”

iii) Today, there is no representation on behalf of the applicant petitioner. This Court is constrained to dismiss the instant application once again for want of prosecution.”

4. In view of the reasons stated here above, this Court does not find any special or exceptional circumstance to entertain the prayer made by the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail, which has been filed for the second time. **Accordingly, present petition is dismissed, being not maintainable.**

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), shall also stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

12.01.2026
Lavisha

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*