

2026:PHHC:044324



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

129

CRM-M-74074-2025
Date of Decision: 20.03.2026

SUSHMA KUMARI

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL.

Present: Mr. Narender Singh Kamboj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jangra, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.25 dated 21.07.2022 under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC and Section 66(C) and 66(D) of the IT Act registered at Police Station Cyber Police Rohtak, District Rohtak.

Vide order dated 05.01.2026 passed by this Court, the petitioner was directed to join the investigation on 12.01.2026 at 11:00 AM and in the meanwhile she was ordered not to be arrested.

Learned State Counsel submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and cooperated with the Investigating Agency. It is also stated that as of now, the petitioner is not required for any custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the interim order dated 05.01.2026 passed by this High Court is hereby made absolute.

Petition stands allowed accordingly.

However, it is clarified that the investigating authority on addition of an offence or offences may not proceed to arrest the accused/petitioner, but for arresting the accused/petitioner on such addition of offence or offences it needs to obtain an order to arrest the accused/petitioner from the Court which had granted the bail in view of the judgment passed by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in ***Criminal Appeal No.830 of 2026*** arising out of ***SLP (Criminal) No.1536 of 2026*** titled as ***Sumit Versus State of Uttar Pradesh and another.***

MARCH 20, 2026.

Rajender

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No