



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(223)

CRM-M-74065-2025

DATE OF DECISION: 09.01.2026

Harbhajan Singh @ Bhajana @ Vishal

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr.Ritesh K. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr.Surinderjit Singh Nahar, AAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0182 dated 27.11.2025, under Sections 333/324(4), 3(5) of BNS registered at Police Station Bhogpur, District Jalandhar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; there is an unexplained delay of 42 days in registration of FIR; except Section 333 of BNS, all other sections are bailable; no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner; petitioner is ready to join the investigation therefore, prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel by relying upon the status report submitted that petitioner armed with iron rod entered into the house of the complainant by climbing the gate; broken the lock; entered in the lobby of the house and damaged the house hold articles as well as Activa

Scooter, which was standing there; except the present case petitioner is also



involved in two more cases i.e one under Section 379-B IPC and another under Section 307 IPC, hence, he is not having clean and clear antecedents and prayed for dismissal of the present petition.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the allegations levelled against the petitioner and the manner in which he entered into the house of the complainant by climbing the gate; broken the lock; entered in the lobby of the house and damaged the house hold articles as well as Aactiva Scooter, which was standing there; as per the contention of learned State counsel, he is also involved in two more cases i.e one under Section 379-B IPC and another under Section 307 IPC, this Court finds no ground to grant discretionary relief of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and the present petition stands dismissed.

7. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be an expression of opinion by this Court lest it may prejudice the trial. The learned trial Court is directed to proceed with the trial on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law.

09.01.2026
mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No