



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRWP No.14102 of 2025**Date of decision: 05.01.2026****SHANKAR AND ANOTEHR****.... Petitioners****Versus****STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS****.... Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMARI**

Present : Mr. Nirmal Singh, Advocate for the petitioners
(*through video conference*).

Mr. Rahul Gupta, A.A.G., Haryana.

RAMESH KUMARI, J. (oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6 and take action on their representation dated 25.12.2025 (Annexure P-5).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have recently filed a representation on 25.12.2025 (Annexure P-5) before the Superintendent of Police, District Panipat raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2- the Superintendent of

**CRWP No.14102 of 2025**

-2-

Police, District Panipat to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Rahul Gupta, A.A.G., Haryana who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 25.12.2025 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners within one week from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

(RAMESH KUMARI)
JUDGE

January 05, 2026

Jyoti - M

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable :	Yes/No