



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

239

CRM-M-145-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 14.01.2026

Mukul Brar @ Mukul Gori

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Ms. Rishma Verma, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. This sixth petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in case bearing FIR No.14 dated 29.01.2021 registered under Sections 307, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Bhargo Camp, District Jalandhar.

2. The instant FIR was registered on the statement of Surjit Lal son of Shingar Chand and the same reads thus:-

“Statement of Surjit Lal son of Sh. Shingar Chand resident of House Number 1006 Bhargo Camp Jalandhar aged about 48 years. Stated that I am resident of above mentioned address and am running the shop of ready made garments at Bhargo Camp Jalandhar. On dated 28.01.2021 it was about 08:00 PM that I was going from my home to market when I was little bit back from Chowk Backside Street of Guru Nanak Singh Sabha



Gurudwara Bhargo Camp Jalandhar, then in the front street my sister in laws son Happy son of Raj Kumar resident of House No 15 New Sant Nagar Basti Mangu, Jalandhar and his friend Jasdeep alias Lalli son of Ramesh Lal resident of Bhargo Camp Jalandhar were standing and talking with each other. In the mean time at the Chowk Kushal resident of Bhargo Camp Jalandhar met me and I was just asking him about his well being that I saw some boys coming while running and raising alarm from the side of market and all of them were holding naked swords and datar. On coming little bit forward I saw that Mukal son of Chaman Lal resident of Bhargo Camp Jalandhar was holding sword in his hand Vicky son of Sardari Lal resident of Mangu Basti Jalandhar was holding sword in his hand Jony son of Vijay Kumar resident of Chapli Chowk Bhargo Camp Jalandhar was holding naked sword in his hand Sunil alias Sheel son of Sh. Sheetal Kumar resident of Basti Bawa Khel, Jalandhar presently resident of Tagore Nagar Kot Sadik, Jalandhar was holding datar in his hand Ghudu son of Kartar Chand resident of Mangu Basti Jalandhar was holding datar in his hand and Lucky son of Sardari Lal resident of Mangu Basti Jalandhar was on motorcycle and behind him two more unknown persons were there and Lucky also stopped his motorcycle near Happy and took out his sword from the motorcycle who on reaching near Happy and Lalli, Vicky and



Lucky raised lalkara and said that today kill this Happy he should not escape teach him a lesson for having enmity with us in front of my eyes Mukal gave 2-3 continues blows of his sword on the head of with intention to kill with which Happy fell on the ground and while he was lying on the ground rest of the persons have attacked with their respective weapons. On which Happy raised alarm Moor Ditto Maar Ditto and I and Kushal have also raised alarm Bachao Bachao. On hearing the alarm people gathered on the spot then all the above said assailants alongwith their respective weapons have started running towards me and Kushal to attack us we also ran and saved our life from them. The above said persons started going away from there while raising lalkara and while saying that we have killed Happy, whoever will raise his head against us he will meet the same fate and the assailants ran away from the spot along with their respective weapons while raising noise. I and Kushal and other residents of the locality made arrangement of vehicle and took Happy to Civil Hospital Jalandhar for treatment where the doctors of Civil Hospital Jalandhar have given first aid to Happy but on seeing the serious condition of Happy we got him admitted at Global Hospital Jalandhar where Happy is undergoing treatment. All the above said assailants have attacked Happy with their respective weapons with intention to kill him and have caused



serious injuries to Happy against whom strict action be taken SD/ Surjit Lal” .

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been in custody since 25.07.2021 and has undergone an actual custody of more than 04 years 04 months. She contends that the trial is progressing at an extremely slow pace and only 05 out of the total 19 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far. She further contends that a supplementary challan was presented against co-accused which resulted in *de novo* recording of the evidence by the prosecution. It is further submitted that thereafter an application under Section 358 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for summoning of additional accused was moved by the prosecution and as such the trial is delayed and shall take a long time in its conclusion. She contends that the earlier petition seeking concession of regular bail to the petitioner was withdrawn in September-2025, however, the order of summoning has been passed post withdrawal of the last petition for grant of regular bail, hence, fresh cause of action accrues in favour of the petitioner for seeking concession of regular bail.

4. Learned counsel for respondent-State, on the other hand, contends that the petitioner is involved in as many as 13 cases. He however does not dispute that except for one case which is pending trial, all the other cases have already attained finality and the petitioner stands acquitted in 06 cases and convicted in 06 cases.

5. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration, the period of actual custody undergone by the petitioner in the



present case viz. more than 04 years and 04 months and bearing in mind that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time, I deem it fit to allow the instant petition.

6. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate concerned.

7. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

14.01.2026
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No