

2026:PHHC:035652



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3185-2026

Date of decision :09.03.2026

SURENDER AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Ishan Khetarpal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Geeta Rani, Asstt. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Paras Jhamb, Advocate for
Mr. Prince Bharat, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.466, dated 15.11.2024 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 of IPC, 1860, (Sections 120-B and 201 of IPC added later on), at Police Station Civil Lines, Kaithal District Kaithal along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 21.01.2026 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 08.12.2025 (P-2).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 21.01.2026 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Kaithal and as per the report dated 27.02.2026 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “*Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (CrL) 543*”.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Kaithal accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.466, dated 15.11.2024 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 of IPC, 1860, (Sections 120-B and 201 of IPC added later on), at Police Station Civil Lines, Kaithal District Kaithal along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

09.03.2026 Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
JITESH Whether reportable:- Yes/No