



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-641-2026

Date of Decision: 12.05.2026

Tarlok Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. H.S Rakhra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.41, dated 03.04.2025, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar (Annexure P-1).

2. The F.I.R in the present case was registered on the basis of a complaint moved by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar. As per the said communication, Gurbir Singh, who was facing the criminal trial had furnished bail bonds before the Court and Jaspal Singh stood surety for Gurbir Singh and Jaspal Singh was identified by Rajbir Singh, Nambardar of the Village, Malkpur, District Amritsar. Even, the documents of ownership, submitted by Jaspal Singh, surety were got verified from the Collector and were found to be fake. Even, Jaspal Singh, surety was found to be a fictitious person

and it was also found during the investigation that the present petitioner had acted as Rajbir, *Namberdar*.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the entire prosecution case is based on the documentary evidence and the documents have already been taken into possession by the police. He further contends that the signatures of the petitioner were obtained by the accused fraudulently on certain documents and he had no concern with the allegations levelled by the complainant in the present case. Still further, Gurbir Singh, co-accused has already been granted the concession of bail by this Court. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 20.08.2025 and challan has already been presented against him. The prosecution has relied upon 17 witnesses, but no witness has been examined so far.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

6. It is not in dispute that the petitioner was taken in custody by the police, as the surety bonds of Gurbir Singh were found to be forged and fabricated. Even, Gurbir Singh, main accused has already been granted the concession of bail by this Court on 04.07.2025 (Annexure P-2). Even, the petitioner is in custody for the last about 08 months and no witness has been examined so far. Thus, the further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose.

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/ Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate.

12.05.2026
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No