



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

139CRM-M-73914-2025
Decided on :16.03.2026

RAJWINDER SINGH AND OTHERS.....Petitioners
Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Ranwant Singh Sangha, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Angrej Singh, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 04.12.2025 (Annexure P-2), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
10	18.01.2025	115(2), 126(2), 351, 324(4), 191(3), 190, 109 of BNS and section 304 of BNS (added later vide DDR No.21 dated 20.01.2025)	Baghapurana, District Moga

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that, as per the allegations set up by the prosecution, there are six accused persons



involved in the present case, namely: (1) Mota, (2) Rajwinder Singh, (3) Bobby, (4) Lovely, (5) Jashanpreet Singh, and (6) Rama. It is further submitted that there are two injured persons in the present case, namely Harpreet Singh and Iqbal Singh.

3. Harpreet Singh is stated to have suffered one injury, allegedly inflicted by accused Rajwinder Singh on the right side of his jaw with the reverse side of a *kirpan*, which is stated to be simple in nature.

Injured Iqbal Singh is stated to have suffered two simple injuries, allegedly inflicted by accused Lovely @ Lovepreet with a baseball bat, one on the head and the other on the left thigh.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that Section 109 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS) has been invoked in the present case, only on the basis of the inference drawn by the prosecution, and no injury has been declared to be dangerous to life by the doctors.

5. Vide order dated 08.01.2026, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

6. Report has since been received from learned District and Sessions Judge, Moga, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any



pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

7. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Six accused
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	Two victims/complainant
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes, but the written compromise is not signed by two of the accused namely Jashandeep Singh and Ram Singh, being in custody at that time. However, they have endorsed the compromise while making statement in the court.
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereas; Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	No complainant/accused has been left out in the petition and all have been arrayed as party in the petition.
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	Nothing worth noticing reported



CRM-M-73914-2025

4

8. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondents are the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

9. In view of the report of the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Moga, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

10. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

11. Petition stands disposed of.

16.03.2026
Lavisha

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO