



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M No.721 of 2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision :07.04.2026

Amit

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr. Rhythem Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Bansal, Sr. DAG Punjab.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH, J. (Oral):

1. This is a petition for anticipatory bail, filed by the petitioner under Section 482 of 'the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023', in a case arising out of FIR No.163 dated 25.06.2025, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 117(2), 118(1), 115(2), 351(2), 191(3) and 190 [Section 118 (2) added later on] of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Police Station Division-8 Ludhiana, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana.

2. Vide order dated 13.01.2026, the petitioner was admitted to interim anticipatory bail, subject to the condition of furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer.

3. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that in compliance with above mentioned order dated 13.01.2026, the petitioner has already joined the investigation. The learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that in view of above, the order dated 13.01.2026 be made absolute.



4. On the instructions of ASI Hardeep Singh, the learned State Counsel has submitted that in compliance with the order dated 13.01.2026, the petitioner has joined the investigation on 16.01.2026, and that for further investigation of this case custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.
5. In view of above, the order dated 13.01.2026, whereby interim anticipatory bail was accorded to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute.
6. Present petition stands allowed, accordingly.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

07.04.2026
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No