



114-1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-73845-2025
Decided on : 18.03.2026

Kuldeep Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh Derabassi, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Kuldeep Singh, aged about 54 years	340	22.11.2025	318(2), 318(4), 335, 336(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2), 61(2), 82 of BNS, 2023	Dera Bassi	SAS Nagar

2. In the present case, on 26.12.2025, following order was passed
by this Court:-

“2. As per allegations levelled by the complainant – Sukhdev Singh, he was owing a plot measuring 01 Bhiga 10 Biswas bearing Khewat Khatoni No.78/108, Khasra No.380/2 (1-10) in Village Bhagwanpur, Tehsil Derabassi, District SAS Nagar (Mohali). Complainant was confined in the Jaipur Jail from January 2016 to 15.09.2025 in some other case, and when he was released on interim bail, vide order dated 15.09.2025 passed by Hon’ble the Apex Court, realised that on the basis of one forged GPA dated 16.05.2025 got prepared by co-accused Ranjit Singh, one sale deed has been executed on 19.06.2025 in favour of co-accused Naresh Kumar.

3. Petitioner Kuldeep Singh and his another co-accused Hem Raj are the attesting witnesses of the sale-deed, and not of the said GPA, which actually was forged at the first instance. Thus, prays for grant of



concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.

4. *Notice of motion.*

5. *On advance notice, learned State counsel puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to file status report in the matter.*

6. *Adjourned to 18.03.2026.*

7. *Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*

8. *Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.*

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 26.12.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. At the outset, learned State counsel has filed the status report 16.03.2026 in Court today, which is taken on record. Office to tag the same at appropriate place. A copy thereof has been handed over to the counsel for the petitioner.

Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation by the petitioner on 09.02.2026, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated



26.12.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made **absolute**.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands **disposed of**, accordingly.

8. However, present order would be subject to the submission of passport of the petitioner to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

March 18, 2026

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No