


2026.PHHC.033431



144

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-39476-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.01.2026**

Kamal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Kirpaljeet Singh, Advocate and
Ms. Samiksha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

Petition herein is, *inter alia*, for directing the official respondents to construct/re-carpet the road in question as per the site map and demarcation records.

2. Briefly, the petitioner is stated to be a resident of Ward No.1, Kalanaur, District Rohtak, Haryana. The petitioner pleads that he is directly affected by the construction of a public road which is being constructed/re-carpeted by the Municipal Authorities at a location contrary to the sanctioned site plan and the approved alignment.

2.1 It is further averred that there exists a road adjoining to Plot No.10 (belonging to one Sh. Phool Kumar son of Sh. Maman), which had been encroached upon by the said Phool Kumar. However, upon objections and complaints raised by the petitioner, the concerned authorities had carried out demarcation proceedings and the encroachment was subsequently removed.

2.2 It is the case of petitioner that despite removal of the encroachment, respondents No.2 and 3 herein are proceeding with the construction/re-carpeting of the road on the previous road which was contrary to the correct site plan. According to the petitioner, the sanctioned width of the road is 30 yards; however, on account of the earlier encroachment, the road has been reduced to a width of 9 yards, thereby causing inconvenience to the commuters.

3. In the backdrop of the aforesaid averments, the present writ petition has been filed seeking relief(s), as noticed hereinabove.

4. Heard.

5. In my considered view, the controversy in question involves disputed questions of fact, which would require leading of evidence, which is not possible in the instant proceedings before this Court.

6. Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed, however, leaving it open to the petitioner to avail his other civil remedies (if so advised), in accordance with law.

7. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

29.01.2026

Apurva

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No