



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

CRM-M-73817-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.02.2026

AVTAR SINGH DHILLON

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. BS Saroha, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Pradeep Chhoker, Advocate for the complainant.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.355, dated 30.08.2025, under Sections 420, 406 IPC, registered at Police Station Chandimandir, District Panchkula.

2. On 24.12.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel inter alia contends that the petitioner, 62-year-old, has falsely been implicated in the present case. The dispute inter se the parties, who are brothers, is regarding inheritance of ancestral land measuring 2 kanals, which is civil in nature and has been given a cloak of criminal proceedings. Further that, the mutation was sanctioned on 13.10.2022, however, the present complaint was lodged 3 years later, when the suit for partition was filed by the petitioner. He is not involved in any other case; ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. BS Saroha, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 31.12.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 25.02.2026."

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the Investigating Officer affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 24.12.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

25.02.2026

ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No