

**UT CHANDIGARH
V/S
SHYAM ALIAS SAM**

Present: Mr. Rajiv Vij, Addl. P.P. for U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr. Manjot Singh Gujral, Advocate,
for the respondent.

Counsel for the applicant(s) submits that they have a very good case on merit and there is sufficient evidence on record which is sufficient for conviction of private respondents.

Counsel appearing for the private respondent submits that the judgment of acquittal is well reasoned.

Leave to appeal granted.

Registry to assign number to appeal.

Main Case.

Heard.

Admitted.

The accused, to execute a bond for attendance within two months, undertaking to appear in this Court, in case appeal is allowed.

Given above, the accused shall furnish a personal bond of Rs. Twenty five Thousand only (INR 25,000/-), and furnishing one surety for Rs. Twenty five thousand only (INR 25,000/-), to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate. Before accepting the sureties, the concerned Court must satisfy that if the accused fails to appear in Court, then such surety is capable of producing the accused before the Court.

The furnishing of the personal bonds shall be deemed acceptance of the all stipulations, terms, and conditions of this bail order.

Registry to communicate this order to the trial Court without any delay. In case of failure of accused to furnish bond, the concerned Court shall proceed further in accordance with law.

There would be no need for a certified copy of this order for furnishing bonds, and any Advocate for the accused can download this order along with case status from the official web page of this Court and attest it to be a true copy. In case the attesting officer wants to verify the authenticity, such an officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for attesting bonds.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

12.05.2026
smriti