



CRM-M-73619-2025 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

117

CRM-M-73619-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:09.03.2026

Sukhvir Singh Sher Gill @ Jagsir Singh @ Seera and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Ankush Singla, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.40 dated 09.06.2025, under Sections 109(1), 304, 115(2), 118, 191(3), 190, 329, 62 of BNS and Section 25, 27 of Arms Act (offence under Section 109(1), 304 BNS deleted later on and offence under Section 125 BNS and subsequently offence under Section 118(2) BNS added later on), registered at Police Station Tallewal, District Barnala.

2. On 23.02.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that no overt act or specific role has been attributed to the petitioners and Sections 307 & 379-B IPC stand deleted. They are not involved in any other case. They are ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.



CRM-M-73619-2025 (O&M) 2

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation on or before 24.02.2026. In the event of their arrest, they shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioners do not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 27.02.2026.”

2. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioners have not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioners to appear, they shall make themselves available without demur.
3. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioners and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioners are not required for further custodial interrogation.
4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioners is allowed and the order dated 23.02.2026 granting interim bail to them, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)



CRM-M-73619-2025 (O&M) 3

5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioners fail to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to them.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

March 09, 2026
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No