



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-9900-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision : 22.04.2026

RAMESH KUMARPetitioner

Versus

JAIDEEP ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Akash Manocha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Gulia, Advocate for the respondent.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

On 12th of January, 2026 following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner is willing to pay the entire decretal amount and that the petitioner only needs some time to collect the said amount.

Notice of motion, returnable for 26.02.2026.

Meanwhile, operation of the impugned order dated 06.12.2025 shall remain stayed.

To be shown in the Urgent List.”

- 2. Despite there being repeated orders and the petitioner seeking adjournments, he has not been able to honour his commitment made before this Court.
- 3. In view thereof, the present revision petition is dismissed.

April 22, 2026 (Pankaj Jain)
Dpr Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No