



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

218

CRM-M-73886-2025
Date of decision: 10.02.2026

SONU

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. S.S. Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Paras Talwar, Senior DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 403 dated 16.11.2025, registered under Section(s) 111(3) & 308(5) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Tosham, District Bhiwani.

2. Briefly summarized, the case of the prosecution as mentioned in the order of trial Court reads thus:-

“In nutshell, the prosecution's case is that the complainant Nar Singh son of Budlu Ram, resident of Pinjokhra, came to the police station and submitted an application to SHO, that he have a liquor contractor for the year 2025-2027 in the name of Rajbir Singh. He has been appointed as an in-charge of this liquor contract. He is responsible for all transactions and liquor sales under this contract. In his village Pinjokhra, Sonu son of Rajpal and Vikas @ Nawab son of Dharambir resident of village Garanpura

Khurd are involved in the illegal liquor business. They have several criminal cases pending against them. Sonu was in jail. After his release, Sonu and Vikas @ Nawab, wherever they meet him in the village, constantly tell him to stop running of liquor contract or else he will suffer huge losses. On 15.11.2025, Sonu came near his liquor contract and threatened him.”

3. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are identical to the co-accused Vikas @ Nawab. The concession of regular bail has already been granted to the accused Vikas @ Nawab vide order dated 06.12.2025 by the Additional Sessions Judge, Bhiwani. He contends that the sole ground for rejection of the application for grant of regular bail to the petitioner was that on 15.11.2025, the petitioner had allegedly threatened the respondent-complainant. It is contended that as a matter of fact, son of the complainant is involved in as many as 36 other criminal cases and in one such case i.e. FIR No. 58 dated 04.03.2024 registered under Section 148, 149, 452, 307 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25/54/59 of the Arms Act at Police Station Tosham, Bhiwani, the petitioner is a witness. He contends that omnibus allegations which are neither corroborated nor substantiated by any other evidence have been levelled against the petitioner. He submits that the investigation in the case is complete and the petitioner's further custodial interrogation is not required.

4. Learned State Counsel contends that on 15.11.2025, the petitioner had extended a threat to the complainant. He, however, does not dispute that in the entire body of the complaint, the role of the petitioner had been kept at par with co-accused Vikas @ Nawab and that the said co-accused has already been granted concession of regular bail.

5. Having heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties and taking into consideration that similarly placed co-accused Vikas @ Nawab has already been granted concession of bail as well as the facts noticed above, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

6. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

FEBRUARY 10, 2026

Vishal Sharma

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No