



**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana  
at Chandigarh**

110

CRM-M-73829-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 28.01.2026

Jagwinder Singh @ Love ... Petitioner  
Versus  
State of Punjab ... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA**

Present:- Mr. Japjit Singh Johal, Advocate for the petitioner.  
\*\*\*\*\*

**SUBHAS MEHLA, J.** (Oral)

**CRM-1862-2026**

In view of the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and the hearing of the main petition is preponed from 10.03.2026 and the same is taken up on board today itself.

**CRM-M-73829-2025**

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in case FIR No.186 dated 31.07.2025 registered under Sections 108 of BNS, at Police Station Model Town, District Hoshiarpur.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is in custody since 12.08.2025 i.e. for the last about 5 ½ months; investigation of the case has already been completed and challan has already been presented on 08.10.2025; and one of the co-accused, namely, Monu, has already been granted concession of interim relief vide order dated 22.09.2025 passed in CRM-M-53601-2025. Trial will take sufficient time to conclude. Petitioner has clean and clear antecedents and is not involved in any other criminal activity. Thus, he prayed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.
3. Notice of motion.



CRM-M-73829-2025 (O&M) ( 2 )

4. Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab puts in appearance as advance copy of petition had been served to respondent-State and submits that in the present case, as per statement of the deceased there are specific allegation of harassment qua the present petitioner.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the contentions raised by learned counsel for the parties; the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 12.08.2025 i.e. for the last about 5 ½ months; investigation qua him has already been completed and challan has already been presented on 08.10.2025; trial will take sufficient time to conclude; there is no material on the file to suggest that he is involved in any other criminal activity, and no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping him in custody for any further period, as concession of bail cannot be denied just as a measure of punishment as culpability is to be decided by trial Court after appreciating evidence adduced by the parties, and it is a trite principle of criminal jurisprudence that bail is rule, jail is an exception, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned, subject to the condition that his presence can be secured during trial.

28.01.2026  
Geeta

( SUBHAS MEHLA )  
JUDGE

|                            |          |
|----------------------------|----------|
| Whether speaking /reasoned | Yes / No |
| Whether Reportable         | Yes / No |