



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(320)

**CRWP-13999-2025(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 14.01.2026**

M/s Eminnent Automotive

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr.Priyadarshi Manish, Advocate,
with Ms. Isha Jhanjhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. T.P.Singh, Sr.DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Nitin Thatai, Advocate, for the respondent no.7.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present criminal writ petition is for quashing impugned oral and/or written Communication, Instructions issued by the Police Authorities or Cyber Crime Cells of respondents no.2 to 6 to respondent no.7 directing to debit freeze of the petitioner's bank account and de-freeze the petitioner's bank account bearing Current Account No.50200081068146 and Overdraft Account No.50200079770286, which have been illegally and arbitrarily subjected to a blanket debit freeze.

2. Counsel for the petitioner contends that without issuing any notice, summons or show cause and without furnishing any copy of FIR and judicial order or statutory attachment, on 01.12.2025, respondent no.1 bank purportedly acting on oral or informal communications from investigating agencies, imposed a complete debit freeze on the petitioner's current account No.50200081068146 without supplying any written order passed by the competent authority, subsequently petitioner's Overdraft Account No.50200079770286 was marked as 'Dormant' by respondent no.1



effectively paralyzing the petitioner's working capital cycle and all banking operations. In support of his contention, learned counsel has placed reliance upon the orders passed by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in **CWP No.3464-2024 titled Jaspreet Singh versus Union of India and others, decided on 05.07.2024** and **CWP No.36093-2025 titled Pranav Gulati versus Union of India and others**, decided on 05.01.2024 where both the petitions have been disposed of by ordering de-freezing the account of the petitioner.

3. Pursuant to notice issued to the respondents, Mr. Nitin Thathai, Advocate, appeared on behalf of respondent no.7 – Bank and submitted that Union of India is also making SOP to deal with such position and petitions on this point are also pending before the larger Bench which is listed for 19.02.2026; order dated 04.07.2025 passed by another Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in **CWP No.14126 of 2024 titled Jaspreet Singh versus Union of India and others**, along with other connected cases.

4. Heard.

5. Keeping in view the observations made by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in **Jaspreet Singh's case (supra)** and **Pranav Gulati's case (supra)** and also keeping in view the fact that **Union of India** has also prepared SOP to deal with such prepositions of law, matter pertaining to the same are pending before the larger Bench; as stated by the counsel for respondent no.7- the bank that they have no objection to de-freeze the account bearing Current Account No.50200081068146 and Overdraft Account No. 50200079770286 after retaining the balance of Rs.6,000/- i.e the disputed amount allegedly to be received in the account of the petitioner,



freeze Current Account No.50200081068146 and Overdraft Account No. 50200079770286. Respondent no.7 will, however, make a lien of the disputed amount, i.e, Rs.6,000/- and petitioner would be at liberty to utilize the remaining balance amount lying in his account, without prejudice to any other order of attaching or for freezing the account.

14.01.2026
mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No