



CRM-M-73498-20251

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

121

CRM-M-73498-2025
Decided on: 23.02.2026

Ranjeet Kumar @ Sunny.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Ranjeet Kumar @ Sunny	211	30.11.2025	21-B, 29 of NDPS Act	Division No.2	Pathankot

2. On 24.12.2025, following order was passed:-

“ Petitioner, an accused in case FIR No.211 dated 30.11.2025 registered against him, for commission of offences punishable under Section 21-B, 29 of NDPS Act at Police Station Division No.2, District Pathankot, has prayed for grant of pre-arrest bail.

Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case as his name was nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused, who was allegedly caught red handed at the site



CRM-M-73498-2025

2

keeping in his illegal possession 6 g 20 mg of Heroin ('Marginally above the Small Quantity'). Learned counsel further submits that said disclosure statement, in the absence of recovery of any other incriminating material, is not admissible in evidence. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner is willing to join the investigation as and when called for by the IO.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and seeks time to file detailed status report.

Adjourned to 23.02.2026.

Needful be done well before the date fixed with an advance copy to the counsel opposite.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.)”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that, in compliance with the order dated 24.12.2025 passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation and has extended full cooperation. He, therefore, prays for confirmation of the interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Naresh Kumar , submits that in pursuance of the directions issued by this Court, the petitioner joined the investigation on 29.12.2025 and as of now, his custodial interrogation is not required.

**CRM-M-73498-2025****3**

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 24.12.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, present order would be subject to the submission of his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as non est automatically.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

23.02.2026

Rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**