

2026:PHHC:053227



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

203

CRM-M-73473-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 07.04.2026

SUDESH AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. R.S.Dhillon, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.52 dated 04.10.2025, registered at Police Station Division No.1, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana, under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC and Section 82 of the Registration Act, 1908.

2. On 30.03.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the property in question admittedly owned by the petitioners, was sold in the year 2023 and the allegations levelled are of it being more than their share, with regard to which he states that the same was devolved upon them by inheritance through a Will and they had no role to play by stating it to be more than their share and are even ready to settle the matter. They are not involved in any other case; ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Adjourned to 07.04.2026.

Meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation on or before 02.04.2026. In the event of their arrest, they shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance

of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioners do not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioners have not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioners to appear, they shall make themselves available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the Investigating Officer, affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioners and cooperating with the investigating agency. She also submits that at this stage, the petitioners are not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioners is allowed and the order dated 30.03.2026 granting interim bail to them, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioners fail to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to them.

07.04.2026

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No