

CRM-M-66264-2025 (O&M)
CRM-M-51251-2025 (O&M)
CRM-M-73990-2025 (O&M)

1

**134 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC-036291



Date of Decision: 10.03.2026

1. CRM-M-66264-2025 (O&M)
NITIN BHADANA ... PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANA ... RESPONDENT

2. CRM-M-51251-2025 (O&M)
SANDEEP SHARMA ALIAS SILLU ... PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANA ... RESPONDENT

3. CRM-M-73990-2025 (O&M)
AMIT KUMAR GUPTA ... PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANA ... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate with
Mr. Pradeep Bhardwaj and Mr. Surender Singh, Advocates
for the petitioner in CRM-M-66264-2025.
Mr. Sanpreet Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner
in CRM-M-51251-2025.
Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner
in CRM-M-73990-2025.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. By this common order all the petitions mentioned above are
being disposed of as common FIR is involved in these petitions.

2. The petitioners are seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the
Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No.185 dated
16.05.2025, under Sections 22(c), 27(a), 29 of NDPS Act registered at
Police Station Sadar, District Yamuna Nagar.

3. The case of the prosecution is that on the basis of secret information, one Kamaljeet @ Guntha was arrested and 816 capsules of Tramadol Hydrochloride were recovered from him. During investigation, Kamaljeet @ Guntha disclosed that he had brought the said contraband from Uttar Pradesh on the instructions of Sandeep Sharma @ Sillu. Subsequently, on the disclosure statement of Sandeep Sharma @ Sillu, Nitin Bhadana was implicated as an accused. Further, on the disclosure statement of Nitin Bhadana, Amit Kumar Gupta was nominated as an accused in the present FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners are innocent and have been implicated falsely in the present case. No recovery has been effected from the petitioners. Apart from the disclosure statement, there is no other evidence to implicate the petitioners in the commission of crime. Petitioner Sandeep Sharma @ Sillu is in custody for the last 09 months and 21 days whereas petitioners Nitin Bhadana and Amit Kumar Gupta are in custody for the last more than 06 months.

5. Notice of motion.

6. Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jangra, A.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioners on the ground of gravity of allegations. Learned State counsel has filed the status report by way of affidavit of Kanwaljeet Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar and custody certificates in Court, which are taken on record. As per the custody certificate, petitioner Sandeep Sharma @ Sillu is in custody for the last 09 months and 21 days whereas petitioners Nitin Bhadana and Amit Kumar

Gupta are in custody for the last more than 06 months.

7. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. After hearing the rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that petitioners are nominated on the basis of the disclosure statement made by the co-accused; petitioner Sandeep Sharma @ Sillu is in custody for the last 09 months and 21 days whereas petitioners Nitin Bhadana and Amit Kumar Gupta are in custody for the last more than 06 months; challan has been presented and charges have been framed; the trial is likely to take a long time and continuous detention of the petitioners would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioners during the pendency of the trial.

9. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petitions are allowed. The petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

10. It is clarified that while on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioners are found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of their bail.

10.03.2026
Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No