



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

117+308

**CM-6490-CWP-2026;
CM-6406-CWP-2026
in/and CWP-39327-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.04.2026**

Angrez Singh

....Petitioner

Vs.

**Superintending Canal Officer, Ferozepur
and others**

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. S.P.S. Tinna, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navneet Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. B.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

HARSH BUNGER J. (Oral)

CM-6406-CWP-2026:

Prayer in the present application is for placing on record reply on behalf of respondent No.3 along with Annexure R/3-1 as well as seeking exemption from filing the certified copies of the same.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and reply on behalf of respondent No.3 along with Annexure R/3-1 are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

Application is accordingly disposed of.

Main case:

Petition herein is, *inter alia*, seeking a writ in the nature of Certiorari, for setting aside the order dated 18.03.2025 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Divisional Canal Officer, Abohar and order dated



**CM-6490-CWP-2026; CM-6406-CWP-2026
in/and CWP-39327-2025 (O&M)**

-2-

18.12.2025 (Annexure P-5) passed by learned Superintending Canal Officer, Ferozepur.

2. Vide the impugned orders, the prayer of the private respondent No.3 for restoration of watercourse left during the consolidation operation in the village has been accepted.

3. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would not press the present petition so far as the challenge to the order dated 18.03.2025 (Annexure P-3) and order dated 18.12.2025 (Annexure P-5) are concerned; and he would restrict his prayer only for issuance of appropriate directions to consider the restoration of watercourse at the site by way of pucca/bricklined watercourse and if possible, through underground pipeline(s).

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel contends that the petitioner may submit a representation to the concerned authority for restoration of the watercourse by making it bricklined or through underground pipeline(s). He further submits that in case any such representation is submitted by the petitioner to respondent No.1 - Superintending Canal Officer, Ferozepur, the same shall be considered and an appropriate order shall be passed thereon (as the circumstances may warrant), in accordance with law.

4.1 At this stage, learned State counsel further submits that since, for restoration of the watercourse at the site, the demarcation of the area is being undertaken, the petitioner be restrained from interfering therein in any manner.



**CM-6490-CWP-2026; CM-6406-CWP-2026
in/and CWP-39327-2025 (O&M)**

-3-

5. In response to the aforesaid stand taken by learned State counsel, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would submit a representation to the concerned authority for restoring the watercourse through underground pipeline(s) and also assured that the petitioner would extend all possible cooperation in conducting demarcation of the area and also the restoration of watercourse at the site.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid broad consensus arrived at between the parties, the present petition is dismissed so far as the challenge to the order dated 18.03.2025 (Annexure P-3) and order dated 18.12.2025 (Annexure P-5) are concerned; however, it is directed that in case, the petitioner submits the representation to respondent No.1, for restoring the watercourse by way of bricklining or through underground pipeline, within a period of one week from today, the same shall be considered by respondent No.1 - Superintending Canal Officer, Ferozepur, within a period of two weeks thereafter and an appropriate order would be passed thereon (as the circumstances may warrant), in accordance with law.

6.1 It is expected that all parties shall render their full cooperation in conducting the demarcation and restoration of watercourse at site.

7. The present petition is disposed of in aforesaid terms.

8. All the pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

28.04.2026

ankit

**(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No