



CWP-39216-2025

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

124

CWP-39216-2025

Date of Decision: 01.04.2026

Gurwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to assign him Registration No. PB-65-BM-0008.

2. On 24.12.2025, this Court passed the following order:

“Counsel for the petitioner states that he had purchased a fancy registration number bearing PB65BM0008 in an auction and had deposited the auction amount. He states that the number was duly allotted to the petitioner, however, the number has not been assigned to the vehicle purchased by him. By making a reference to the communication dated 08.12.2025, Annexure P8, he asserts that due to a technical issue, GST could not updated and the responsibility for the delay, which resulted in the expiry of the validity of the fancy number is that of the authorities. He points out that the Regional Transport Officer has addressed a communication, Annexure P8 to the State Transport Commissioner for extending the validity of the fancy number.

Advance copy of the petition has been served upon respondents.

State counsel requests for deferment to get instructions from State Transport Commissioner, Punjab.



List on 05.02.2026.

In the meanwhile, it is directed that the aforesaid fancy number, if not allotted to any other person so far, shall be kept reserved till the next date of hearing.”

3. The aforesaid order was followed by order dated 13.01.2026 which reads as:

“Upon instructions from Mr. Gagandeep Singh, A.R.T.O., SAS Nagar, State counsel submits that Fancy No.PB-65-BM-0008 has been reserved in compliance of interim order dated 24.12.2025, passed by this Court. He states that the number is no longer being put to auction.

In view of the above, application is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.”

4. Learned State counsel submits that claim of the petitioner is undisputed. It is factually correct that he has already deposited bid money.

5. Considering the fact that petitioner has already paid bid money; fancy number in question has not been allotted to anyone else; there is no other claimant and interest of State is duly protected, the respondents are hereby directed to release aforesaid number to the petitioner within 4 weeks from today.

6. It is made clear that petitioner would submit information, if any, required by respondent.

7. The petition stands disposed of in above terms.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

01.04.2026
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No