



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

162

CRM-M-73462-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision:05.03.2026

SUNIL KUMAR @ RAJU NARULA

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr.Amandeep Chhabra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Yadwinder Singh, AAG, Punjab.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short '*BNSS*'), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.0026 dated 19.11.2025, under Section 108 of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station GRP Abohar, District Govt. Railway Police.

2. Vide order dated 02.01.2026 passed by the Corodinate Bench of this Court, the petitioner was directed to join investigation. The said order is reproduced hereinafter:-

“1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.0026 dated 19.11.2025, under Sections 108 of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station GRP Abohar, District Govt. Rly Police (Annexure P-1).

2. Status report dated 01.01.2026 filed by way of affidavit of Jaskaran Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, GRP Zone, Ferozpur filed by respondent-State is taken on record.



3. The present case has been registered on the basis of statement given to the Police by one Pankaj Kumar with the allegations that his mother namely Murti Devi is having illicit relations with his neighbour Sunil Kumar @ Raju Narula (petitioner) for the last 7 years. In September, 2025, said Sunil Kumar along with his two sons namely Aman and Anmol and about 15-16 unknown persons had entered in his house and had beaten him, his father and his mother, and they got registered case bearing FIR No.226 dated 25.09.2025, under Sections 331(6), 115(2), 191(3), 190, 351(3) of BNS, Police Station City-1, Abohar. Thereafter, said Sunil Kumar used to intercept them and ask them to get the FIR quashed and used to threaten them to face the consequences in case they will not agree to his advice. Due to this, his mother Murti Devi used to remain disturbed and she even informed the other family members in this regard. Accused Sunil Kumar has also threatened his mother and his family members that he has got obscene videos and photographs of his mother and if they will not get the FIR quashed, he will make all photographs and videos viral. Due to this, his mother committed suicide by jumping in front of a train on 18.11.2025 and he sought action against him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that false allegations have been levelled against the petitioner that he had threatened to make any obscene video and photographs viral. Infact, the deceased during her lifetime had submitted a complaint to the police on 25.10.2024 to the effect that petitioner had threatened her and her husband after intercepting them but no allegation was levelled in the said complaint that petitioner had ever threatened to make any obscene video or photograph viral and such an averment in the FIR at the behest of the complainant is an afterthought and only to make the offence graver. Learned counsel next contended that parties had inimical relations and one FIR bearing No.226 dated 25.09.2025, under Sections 331(6), 115(2), 191(3), 190, 351(3)



of BNS, Police Station City-1, Abohar had already been registered against the petitioner, regarding causing of beatings to the opposite party by him and his family members and there was thus no question of any illicit relations or any threat to make the video viral. Learned counsel further contended that no suicide note has been left behind by the deceased and from the allegation levelled in the FIR, the ingredients of the offence under Section 108 of BNS are not at all attracted as there was no direct or indirect act on his part which could instigate the deceased to commit suicide. Learned counsel next contended that petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.

5. On the other hand learned State Counsel has opposed the bail and argued that petitioner has committed a heinous offence and he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

6. Adjourned to 05.03.2026.

7. In view of the contentions raised by petitioner as noticed above, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the



prior permission of the Court; iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub- section(3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that Section ”

3 Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer concerned, submits that the investigation stands completed and the challan has been presented. It is further submitted that in compliance with the order dated 02.01.2026, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation

4. Having considered the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petition is allowed. Order dated 02.01.2026 passed by this Court, is hereby made absolute.

5. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting the petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency to investigate into the charges against the petitioner(s).

7. The accused-petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him or her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

8. The accused-petitioner(s) shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

9. The accused-petitioner(s) shall join the investigation as and when called by the police.



10. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move to this Court for a direction under Section 483(3) of BNSS, 2023 (erstwhile Section 439(2) of Cr.P.C.) to arrest the accused-petitioner(s), in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.

11. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

March 05, 2026
anita

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No