

2026:PHHC:050035



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

166

CRM-M-73476-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 01.04.2026

SANDEEP

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Ketan Antil, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Saroha, DAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.181 dated 24.05.2025, under Sections 115, 126, 140(3), 190, 191(3), 117(2), 140(4) of BNS, 2023 (Sections 117(2) & 140(4) of BNS, 2023 added and Section 140(3) of BNS, 2023 deleted later on), registered at Police Station Gannaur, District Sonipat.

2. On 20.01.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.181 dated 24.05.2025, under Sections 115, 126, 140(3), 190, 191(3), 117(2), 140(4) of BNS, 2023 (Sections 117(2) & 140(4) of BNS, 2023 added and Section 140(3) of BNS, 2023 deleted later on), registered at Police Station Gannaur, District Sonipat (Annexure P-1).

The case of the prosecution is that the accused persons are alleged to have hatched a criminal conspiracy to kidnap the complainant-Sunil. They are alleged to have abducted the complainant in a car, taken him to the fields and brutally assaulted him with wooden sticks. After causing grievous

injuries, the accused persons are alleged to have thrown the complainant on the roadside and fled from the spot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and was arraigned as an accused on the disclosure statement of co-accused Manoj. No specific role has been attributed to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel has filed reply by way of an affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Ganaur, District Sonipat in Court which is taken on record. It is stated in the reply that the petitioner has actively participated in the commission of the alleged offence. It is further stated that on 23.05.2025, the petitioner along with other accused came in a white 120 car to village Gumad when the conspiracy was hatched at the instance of co-accused Sheela and thereafter, helped in forcibly abducting the victim-Sunil and taking him to the fields where he was given beatings with wooden sticks. It is also submitted that the wooden sticks have been recovered from co-accused Manoj and Ravi and the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required as the wooden stick used in causing injuries to the victim is yet to be recovered from him.

A copy of the reply has been furnished to learned counsel for the petitioner, who submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case while no motive has been attributed to him. He also submits that the petitioner is not involved in any other case and is ready and willing to join investigation.

Learned State counsel has not controverted the fact that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.

List on 12.03.2026.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation as and when required and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

- 1) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court,
- iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the Investigating Officer, affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 20.01.2026 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

01.04.2026

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No