



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

244

CRM-M-73245-2025  
Date of decision: 16.02.2026

HARJEET KAUR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: - Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Verma, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Nitin Thatai, Advocate  
for respondents No.4 and 5.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**

The present petition has been filed seeking directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to take appropriate legal action against respondents No.4 and 5 who forcibly recovered truck/vehicle of the petitioner bearing Registration number PB-11-DF-6697 with the help of recovery agents.

2. On noticing the arguments of the petitioner, the following order was passed on 24.12.2025:-

*“Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner(s) inter alia contends that the petitioner is in default only of three installments and had cleared about Rs.7,80,291. He on*

*instructions from the petitioner undertakes that 50% of the overdue amount will be deposited within a period of 02 weeks from today along with overdue interest and the balance 50% of the amount shall be deposited within a period of 04 weeks thereafter. He submits that the petitioner will also continue to pay the running installments.*

*Notice of motion for 16.02.2026.*

*In the meanwhile, in the event of petitioner depositing 50% of the overdue amount along with interest within a period of 15 days from today along with other running payments required to be made, the vehicle bearing registration No.PB-11-DF-6697 shall be released/handed over to the petitioner without prejudice to the rights of respondents No. 4 and 5. The balance 50% of the amount shall be deposited within a period of 04 weeks thereafter.”*

3. On resumed hearing, Counsel for the respondent(s) contends that the petitioner has not paid the balance due amount despite a specific undertaking to the said effect and currently an amount of Rs. 1,75,495/- is overdue.
4. Counsel for the petitioner is not in a position to offer any explanation for the non-compliance of the undertaking submitted by him on 24.12.2025 when an interim order was passed in his favour after accepting the undertaking.
5. The present petition is accordingly dismissed.

**FEBRUARY 16, 2026**

*Vishal Sharma*

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable : Yes/No