



CRM-M-73221-2025 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

259

CRM-M-73221-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:17.03.2026

Rohit Munjal

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Ms. Rashi Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Learned State counsel refers to paras 6 and 7 of the reply filed by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Abohar, District Fazilka, dated 16.03.2026, which read thus:-

“6. That it is submitted that the office of the SSP, Fazilka received an application (No.9079-PGD-PC dated 19.11.2025) from the private respondent No.6 from the private respondent No.6-applicant. During enquiry in the said application, both the parties were summoned by sending parvana. Both the parties came present and were heard. The petitioner produced the copy of the enquiry report of DSP (CAW), Fazilka in which the same matter was disposed of being money transactions. Since the matter was already enquired by the police vide enquiry report dated 12.03.2025 of the DSP (CAW), Fazilka, it was recommended by the S.P. (HQ), Fazilka vide enquiry report dated 22.01.2026 to consign the application to record room. The said enquiry report was also approved by the SSP, Fazilka.



CRM-M-73221-2025 (O&M) 2

7. That it is submitted that the false allegations have been levelled by the petitioner that the police is conducting the second enquiry. When an application is received by the police, the matter is enquired and without enquiry, it cannot be ascertained that the matter involved in the said application has already been enquired by the police. When during the enquiry by the S.P. (HQ), Fazilka, it was found that the matter involved in the second application of the private respondent No.2-applicant, was the subject matter of the enquiry conducted by the DSP (CAW) Fazilka, as explained above, the application of the applicant was ordered to be consigned to the record room. The police is neither conducting nor conducted any second enquiry in the present matter. The police acted in the present matter by following proper procedure and the petitioner was never harassed by the police. No cause of action was accrued to the petitioner to file the present petition.

It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that in view of the submissions made above, the present petition may kindly be dismissed, in the interest of justice.”

2. In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed.
3. Disposed of accordingly.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

March 17, 2026

dinesh

Whether speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No