

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120

2026:PHHC:013065



CRM-M-73550-2025 (O&M).
Date of decision: 29.01.2026.

SUNIL SINGH

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Atinderpal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking directions to the official respondents to take appropriate legal action against the private respondents on the basis of allegations levelled in the representation dated 27.11.2025 alleging that as per the allegations levelled GDR No.27 dated 21.11.2025, under Sections 118(1), 115(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 has been recorded in FIR bearing No.249 dated 16.12.2024, under Section(s) 109 and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 along with Section 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Kamboj, District Amritsar.

2 Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner(s) contends that the officials respondents have failed to arrest the culprits despite registration of GDR compelling the petitioner to submit a representation to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar, however, no action has been taken on the same. It is contended that the private respondents are very influential persons and have got links with higher authorities, being politically well connected. He further contends that no arrest has been made by the Investigating Agency so far giving rise to the present petition.

3 I have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and have gone through the documents appended along with the present petition.

4 The prayer made by the petitioner before this Court in the form of grievance espoused that the private respondents-accused have not been arrested, cannot be considered by this Court. The Investigating Agency would not be mandated by any directions to arrest the persons who have been nominated as an accused. It is for the Investigating Agency to form an opinion, on the basis of the material available, whether custodial interrogation of the private respondents is required or not. Under the garb of present petition, the High Court would not supervise day to day to investigation and steer investigation.

5 The present petition appears to be an attempt to keep the investigation under pressure. Vide the GDR, additional offences have been added. The same would, not by itself, make out any special case for issuance of directions to the official respondents to arrest the private respondents.

6 Ordinarily, this Court would not issue any such direction to the Investigating Agency to conduct investigation in a particular manner or seek custodial interrogation of the suspects. The same being domain of

investigation, has to be left to them.

7 Consequently, finding no grounds, the present petition is dismissed.

January 29, 2026.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*