



110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-39241-2025

Date of decision: 13.01.2026

Naveen Kumar Garg

....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Co-operative Agricultural Development
Bank Ltd and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. Harshit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.**HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to forthwith transfer the employer's share of the provident fund of the petitioner from PF Account No. PN/1963/02149 (Annexure P-3) to PF Account No. PN/4565/6012 (Annexure P-4) along with interest and for taking appropriate action against respondents No.1 & 2.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner was employed with respondent No.1 from 20.12.2001 to 20.06.2012 during which the statutory provident fund deductions were made. Upon joining the Punjab State Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. (PUNSUP) on 21.06.2012, only the employees' share of PF was released and the employer's share was unlawfully withheld. He further submits that respondent No.1 despite repeated requests and legal notice, failed to transfer the amount and continued non-

**CWP-39241-2025****-2-**

transfer of employer's share of PF is arbitrary, illegal and violates provisions of EPF Act, 1952.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has limited his prayer to the extent that the present petition be treated as a comprehensive representation and the same be decided in a time bound manner by passing a speaking order after affording the petitioner an opportunity of being heard.

4. In view of the limited prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of and respondent No.1 is directed to treat this writ petition as a comprehensive representation and consider the claim of the petitioner and pass a speaking order after affording him an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondent No.1.

5. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

13.01.2026*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No