

2026.PHHC.045776



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

127

CRM-M-73341-2025

Date of decision: 23rd March, 2026

Subhash

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Neeraj Poswal, A.A.G., Haryana.

MANISHA BATRA, J (ORAL):-

The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner seeking grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 194 dated 28.07.2025 registered under Sections 319(2), 336(2), 336(3), 338, 340 and 61 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS') at Police Station Central, Sector 12, Faridabad.

2. As per the allegations, the petitioner was in custody in connection with case bearing FIR No. 170 dated 07.04.2023, registered under Sections 302 and 201 read with Section 34 of IPC at Police Station Dabua, Faridabad. He was extended benefit of bail. Two surety bonds were to be furnished. The same were furnished by two persons namely Yatender Kumar and Shahid. Both of them were identified by one Satbir s/o Balbir Singh, resident of Faridabad and those surety bonds were accepted on 30.11.2024 by the

2026.PHHC.045776



concerned court. On 08.01.2025, Yatender Kumar appeared before the concerned Court and informed that he had not stood surety for the accused Krishan and had moved an application for taking action against the person who had impersonated him. It was also revealed that the person who had furnished surety bonds in the name of Shahid as well as the identifier were also impostors. In pursuance of the orders passed by the concerned Court, the aforementioned FIR was registered. Accused Krishan was arrested. He suffered disclosure statement on the basis of which, the present petitioner was nominated as an accused. He was arrested on 04.11.2025. He suffered disclosure statement to the effect that he used to do typing work, renewal of driving licence etc. in Tehsil compound, Faridabad. He also used to provide fabricated and tampered documents to the persons who were in need of furnishing surety for bail of accused persons. He used to prepare photocopies by tampering with the names, addresses of different persons and by providing fake documents in lieu of money. He admitted his involvement in this case. Investigation qua him stands completed.

3. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in this case. He has been in custody since long. The subject offences are triable by Magistrate. The co-accused Krishan has been extended benefit of bail. On parity, he too deserves to be extended the same benefit. He was neither a surety nor identifier to the surety. No useful purpose would be served by detaining him in custody anymore. It is, therefore, argued that he deserves to be released on bail.

2026.PHHC.045776



4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel has argued that the allegations against the petitioner are quite serious in nature. He is a habitual offender as two more cases have been registered against him on the allegations of prepare forged and fabricated Aadhar card, PAN card and on the allegations of furnish false sureties by tampering with documents. In this case also he had prepared false and fabricated documents of identity of persons shown as surety and identifier which were used for release of co-accused Krishan on bail. There are chances of his absconding or committing similar offences, if extended benefit of bail. Therefore, it is stressed that the petition does not deserve to be allowed.

5. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties at considerable length.

6. The petitioner is alleged to have provided false and fabricated documents to the family members of accused Krishan who had used the same, for the purpose of securing release of accused Krishan on bail by producing some impersonators as his surety and identifier thereby intending to cheat the court concerned. The allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature. He is also involved in two other cases on the allegations of getting prepared false and fabricated Aadhar cards, PAN card and also providing false and fabricated documents for obtaining bail and is obviously a habitual offender. The apprehension that he may abscond or in commission of similar offence cannot be stated to be unfounded at this stage. Taking into consideration the gravity of the allegations, this Court is of the considered opinion that the

2026.PHHC.045776



petitioner does not deserve to be given concession of bail. As such, no compelling ground is made out to allow the petition. Accordingly, the same is dismissed.

7. It is, however, clarified that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and shall not influence the outcome of the trial.

8. Since the main petition has already been decided, pending application, if any, is rendered infructuous.

[MANISHA BATRA]
JUDGE

23rd March, 2026
Parveen Sharma

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |