



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

164

CRM-M No.73344 of 2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.01.2026

Vineet @ Veenet

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH, J. (Oral):

1. This is a petition, filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, hereinafter referred to as 'BNSS', for setting aside of the order dated 29.09.2025, (Annexure P-6), passed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jagadhri, hereinafter referred to as 'trial Court'. whereby bail of the petitioner has been cancelled, his bail/surety bonds have been forfeited to the State and warrants of arrest has been issued against him, in a case pertaining to FIR No.500 dated 08.09.2023, under Section 147, 149, 323, 452, 506, 427 and 379-B of Indian Penal Code (hereinafter referred to as 'IPC') Police Station City Jagadhri.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Addl. A.G., Haryana, appears on behalf of respondent-State, and waives service.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was regularly appearing before the learned trial Court, but due to compelling and unavoidable circumstances he could not appear before the learned trial Court as his daughter was suffering from a serious heart ailment and was undergoing treatment at Nehru Hospital, Chandigarh. As per learned counsel for the petitioner, since 28.09.2025 his daughter was ill and on 29.09.2025 her condition remained same, therefore, he could not appear before the Court, leading to cancellation of bail of the petitioner and forfeiture of his surety bonds. According to learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned trial Court has further proceeded to issue non-bailable warrants against the petitioner.

5. In view of above, once it is an admitted fact that the petitioner was not present before the learned trial Court in compliance with the conditions imposed in bail order, it is hereby held that in the absence of any request for exemption of personal appearance of the petitioner, the learned trial Court was left with no option but to cancel the bail order, forfeit the bail bonds and issue warrant of arrest against the petitioner. Since apparently, there is no illegality in the abovementioned order, there is no scope of interference in the impugned order.

6. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner has sought liberty for the petitioner to surrender before the learned trial Court and move an application for bail.

7. In view of above, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to surrender before the learned trial Court within a

period of two weeks from today. If he surrenders before the learned trial Court within the stipulated period and moves an application for bail, the learned trial Court is directed to dispose of the bail application within a period of two days. It is however clarified that the learned trial Court in the exercise of its discretion shall be at liberty to take the petitioner in judicial custody or impose penalty under Section 491 of BNSS (erstwhile Section 446 of CrPC).

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

12.01.2026
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No