



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-73056-2025

NEERAJ ARORA SON OF DAVINDER KUMAR

...PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

Date of decision: 16.02.2026

Date of uploading: 17.02.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Pardeep Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Senior DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh Saini, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No. 217 dated 11.09.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 & 120-B of the IPC, 1860, at Police Station Kalanwali, District Dabwali, Haryana.

2. On 24.12.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.217 dated 11.09.2025, registered for offences punishable under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 & 120-B of the IPC, 1860, at Police Station Kalanwali, District Dabwali, Haryana; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre- arrest bail.

Inter alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question on account of his solemnizing marriage



with daughter of respondent No.2-FIR-complainant against his wishes, no effective recovery is to be made from the petitioner, & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein. Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance service of copy of petition, Ms. Mahima Yashpal Singla, Senior DAG Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State of Haryana.

Put up on 22.01.2026.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 30.12.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer.

As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

Mediation proceedings were initiated; however, the same could not fructify.

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation but his further custodial interrogation is required for effecting the recovery of money in question.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by arguing that the allegations raised against the petitioner are direct/serious in nature and hence he ought not to be extended the concession of anticipatory bail. He has further iterated that in case the petitioner is extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all the likelihood that he may interfere with the investigation as also intimidate the investigation/witnesses.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival parties and upon perusal of the record; especially keeping in view the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation & cooperated therein and his custodial



interrogation being sought only for recovery of money in question; this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 24.12.2025.

6. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the order dated 24.12.2025, granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS..

7. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

8. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

9. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

16.02.2026
jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No