



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

104 (6 cases)	Date of decision: 16.01.2026
1)	COCp-73-2026 (O&M)
Gurwant Singh and others	...Petitioners
V/s	
Sh. Arshdeep Singh Thind and others	...Respondents
2)	COCp-76-2026 (O&M)
Manjinder Singh and others	...Petitioners
V/s	
Sh. Arshdeep Singh Thind and others	...Respondents
3)	COCp-79-2026 (O&M)
Sukhchain Singh and others	...Petitioners
V/s	
Sh. Arshdeep Singh Thind and others	...Respondents
4)	COCp-80-2026 (O&M)
Harinder Pal Singh and others	...Petitioners
V/s	
Sh. Arshdeep Singh Thind and others	...Respondents
5)	COCp-90-2026 (O&M)
Jaswinder Kumar and another	...Petitioners
V/s	
Sh. Arshdeep Singh Thind and others	...Respondents
6)	COCp-96-2026 (O&M)
Jaswant Singh and others	...Petitioners
V/s	
Sh. Arshdeep Singh Thind and others	...Respondents

CORAM : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Puneet Jindal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Rahul Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Shiny Chopra, AAG, Punjab.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

CWP-13303-2024 was decided vide order dated 05.08.2024 (Annexure P-3) along with other connected petitions and the following directions had been issued:-

“Learned State counsel on instructions from Mr. Gurmail Singh, D.DA (Headquarter) concedes the factum that no opportunity of hearing was given to the petitioners before passing the impugned order reducing the salaries. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the impugned order which has been passed reducing the salaries of the petitioners be treated as withdrawn with liberty to pass afresh order on the same cause of action by giving due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner to present their case in writing and whatever the objections will be taken by the petitioners to the proposed action, the same will be considered by the competent authority and an appropriate speaking order will be passed and in case, it is found feasible to accept the objections, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed which will be conveyed to them and action will be taken in terms of the speaking order to be passed.”

2. Concededly, in compliance of the aforesaid directions, speaking orders have been passed, which are on record of the present contempt petitions as well.

3. The grievance which had been brought forthwith by learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners on the last date of hearing is as regards the payment for the intervening period, which, on instructions, learned State counsel submits, shall be paid within a period of four weeks, subject to the right of recovery, in accordance with law and the outcome of the pending litigation.

4. That being so, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no grievance subsists at this stage and the petitioners do not wish to press the present contempt petitions.

5. In view of the statements given by learned counsel for the parties, the present contempt petitions are disposed of as having been not pressed, at this stage.

6. Needless to assert that both sides shall remain bound by the statements given in Court today.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

January 16, 2026
vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No