



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

135

CRM-M-73493-2025

Date of decision : 21.01.2026

BALJEET SINGH

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr. A.D.S. Jattana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Eklavya Darshi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH. J.(Oral)

1. It has been contended on behalf of petitioner that with regard to a complaint moved by the petitioner before the police authority, an enquiry was conducted and that in the above-mentioned enquiry, which was conducted by the Senior Superintendent of Police himself, although it has been observed that a false and fabricated compromise was created by the respondent No.8, yet instead of taking any action against the respondent No.8 the complaint has been closed by observing that this is a matter of civil nature.

2. In my opinion for the above-mentioned grievance, the remedy lies in the Court of Magistrate, where the petitioner is at liberty to move a

complaint. He may also file an application for direction to the police to register the case.

3. With the above-mentioned observations, the present petition is hereby disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the Court of learned Area Magistrate.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

21.01.2026
vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No