

235 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026 PHHC 003744



CRM-M-73926-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.01.2026

MANOJ YADAV

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Abhimanu Jangra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Abhishek Goel, Advocate for the complainant.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No.193 dated 23.06.2025, under Sections 140(3), 143(4), 115, 61(2) of BNS registered at Police Station City Sector 40, District Gurugram.

2. The case of the prosecution is that one Shivam Yadav had kidnapped the son of the complainant, who was 6 years old. The petitioner is the mother of the main accused and she has been nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of the main accused.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that apart from the disclosure statement, there is no other evidence to implicate the present petitioner in the commission of crime. Moreover, in the statement made by the child, he has not identified the present petitioner or has not prescribed any role of any woman in the said occurrence. The petitioner has undergone actual custody for a period of 06 months and 09 days.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. He has filed the custody certificate in Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner has undergone actual custody for a period of 06 months and 09 days.
6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
7. The petitioner has been nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of the co-accused. The petitioner has undergone actual custody for a period of 06 months and 09 days. The trial of the case is likely to take a long time and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.
8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on her furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.
9. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of her bail.

14.01.2026
Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No