



CWP-38785-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

CWP-38785-2025

Date of Decision: 01.04.2026

Sangeeta Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Rahul Singla, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Deepak Vashishth, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana

Mr. Mayank Vashishth, Advocate and

Mr. Yan Dasi, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 6

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. On 16.02.2026, this Court passed the following order:

“Mr. Mayank Vashishth, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.2 to 6 and filed Vakalatnama, which is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been awarded compensation of Rs.7,97,600/- under policy dated 08.07.2019, Annexure P-1, on account of death of her spouse due to electrocution. By making a reference to the terms of the policy, counsel contends that compensation has to be determined under the Employees Compensation Act, 1923 which entails payment of interest on the assessed amount. Counsel asserts that interest has neither been calculated nor disbursed to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for respondents No. 2 to 6 requests for short accommodation to get instructions.

List on 01.04.2026.

Let respondents No.2 to 6 calculate the interest in favour to the petitioner and disburse it on or before the next date of hearing.”

**CWP-38785-2025****-2-**

2. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 to 6 submits that petitioner has been paid interest amounting to ₹5,50,868/-, thus, her grievance stands redressed.

3. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the petition.

4. Dismissed as not pressed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

01.04.2026*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No