



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 118

CWP-38807-2025

Decided on: 27.01.2026

Pinki and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

PRESENT: Ms. Anu Chatrath, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Nishant Maini, Advocate and
Ms. Damanpreet Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J.(ORAL)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to fix the petitioners' pay on notional basis from the date of first set of appointments, and to grant the same pay scale/salary as has already been paid to their juniors.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that grievance raised herein is pending for appropriate decision before the respondents. At this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case respondent no.2 is directed to decide their pending legal notice, dated 03.11.2025, Annexure P-9, in a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Amit Sahni, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State and submits that the pending legal notice, dated 03.11.2025, will be decided by respondent no.2/Director, Elementary Education, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within three months.



5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioners.

27.01.2026
Anjal

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	Yes /No