



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-72630-2025**Date of decision: 09.01.2026**

PARDEEP KUMAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ*********

Present: - Mr. Parambir Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Bal Krishan Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, Asstt. A.G. Haryana
for the respondent-State.

***********VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 323 dated 15.10.2025, registered under Section(s) 115(323 IPC), 110 (308 IPC), 190 (149 IPC), 191(2) (147 IPC) of BNS, 2023 (Section 3(5) was added and Sections 190, 191(2) were deleted later) at Police Station Ram Nagar, Karnal.

2. Briefly summarized, the case of the prosecution is that the complainant Ramkaran @ Kuldeep and his son Ayush have a vegetable shop at Pingli Chowk, where they work from morning to evening till 10:00 PM. On 14.10.2025, when they were closing their shop at around 9-10 PM, they found that three people had been taking liquor near their Tata Ace. When the complainant's son Ayush, asked them to get aside as they had to take out their vehicle, they started abusing him and the complainant and further told

them that they would teach them a lesson. Then they went inside Kiryana shop of Ram Mehar and started loading vegetables in their vehicle. Ram Mehar called people from the village Pingli telephonically. After some time, 5-6 people came on 2-3 motorcycles, having lathies and attacked both the complainant and his son due to which they received serious injuries on their heads. Their neighbour took them to the Trauma Centre at Karnal Hospital, where the complainant received 4 to 6 stitches and his son Ayush was referred to PGI Chandigarh due to a serious condition. The names of the accused persons were traced as Pardeep @Deepu, Rohit, Ajay, Ram Mehar and 2-3 other unknown persons. On this basis, a formal FIR was registered. On 18.10.2025, the petitioner Pardeep Kumar was arrested. A Binda, Electric Scooty and its registration certificate were recovered from the accused.

3. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends there is a delay of 02 days in lodging of the FIR. He contends that, in fact, the fight was started by the complainant and his son and during the said scuffle, Ayush fell and hit a pucca stone and sustained injuries. No injury was caused by the petitioner. He contends that the petitioner has now been falsely implicated to harass him. It is contended that the injuries in question were simple and, accordingly, Section 110 of BNS would not be *prima facie* attracted. He further contends that the petitioner has undergone an actual custody of more than two and a half months and is not involved in any other case. The investigation in the case is already complete and the final report already stands filed.

4. Learned State Counsel does not dispute the aforesaid facts.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties.

6. Taking into consideration the nature of the allegations, the attribution against the petitioner, the arguable issue with respect to the circumstances in which the incident took place, the age of the petitioner (52 years), the period of custody as well as the clean antecedents of the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

7. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

9. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

JANUARY 09, 2026

Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No