



CRM-M-73301 of 2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

259

CRM-M-73301 of 2025
Date of Decision: 18.02.2026

Pargat Singh @ Pagga

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present: Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amritpal Singh, DAG, Punjab.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.337 dated 24.10.2025 registered under Section 25(8)/54/59 of the Arms Act and Section 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar.
2. Brief facts of the present case are that on 24.10.2025, ASI Tarsem Singh, along with his fellow police officials, on the basis of secret information, conducted a raid at the house of co-accused Harpreet Singh @ Happy and apprehended the petitioner, who was found in illegal possession of one pistol 9MM along with magazine.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and he has no concern with

**CRM-M-73301 of 2025****-2-**

the alleged incident. He argued that the alleged recovery of pistol along with magazine has been planted upon him. Moreover, the petitioner has clean antecedents as he is not involved in any other case. The petitioner is in custody since 24.10.2025. The investigation in the case is complete, challan also stands presented but charges are yet to be framed. He further submits that the trial will take a long time to conclude and no useful purpose would be served by keeping him behind bars. Therefore, it is urged that the petition deserves to be allowed.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the other hand, learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner, which is taken on record. He has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail by submitting that the offence committed by the petitioner is serious in nature. However, he has not controverted the fact that the petitioner is a first time offender as he is not involved in any other case.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties at length and after perusing the record of the case, it is evident that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 03 months; he has clean antecedents; investigation is complete; challan stands presented; charges are yet to be framed; the complicity of the petitioner is a matter of trial and the same will take a long time to conclude. Thus, no useful purpose would be served by detaining him in further custody. His continued detention without the prospect of the trial being concluded in the near future would be violative of his rights under Article 21 of the Constitution of India.



CRM-M-73301 of 2025

-3-

7. Reliance is placed upon a judgment in the case of ***Dataram Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh & Anr. 2018(2) R.C.R. (Criminal) 131***, wherein Hon'ble Apex Court has held that keeping somebody behind the bars, till his guilt is proved, for an indefinite period amounts to infringement of his right to life and liberty, as enshrined under Article 21 of Constitution of India and is against the principle "*bail is a rule*" and "*jail is an exception*".

8. In view of the above, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/CJM concerned. It is clarified that nothing stated herein shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

18.02.2026

D.Bansal

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No