



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

125

CRM-M-72464-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.02.2026

Nirmal Singh @ Nimma

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Esh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Saurav Verma, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. This 2nd petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in FIR bearing No.153 dated 25.09.2022, registered under Sections 22(b) and 22 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station Sadar Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

2. Status report by way of affidavit dated 22.02.2026 on behalf of respondent(s)-State has been filed by the learned State counsel today in the Court and the same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been furnished to the learned counsel for the petitioner(s).

3. The petitioner was apprehended for being in possession of 12 grams of *heroin* and 1000 intoxicant tablets, which were recovered from his conscious possession apart from that he is also facing trial in 13 other cases, out of which in 8 cases, he has convicted.

4. At the outset, learned counsel for respondent-State has



informed that there are total 10 prosecution witnesses, out of which 03 have been examined and 05 have been given up. There are thus only 02 more witnesses to be examined. The case is now fixed for prosecution evidence on 27.03.2026. He undertakes that the entire prosecution evidence shall be concluded within a period of 03 months from the date next fixed before the trial Court for recording of remaining prosecution evidence.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is **disposed of** at this stage while declining the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner with a direction to the prosecution to conclude the evidence within a period of 03 months from the next date fixed before trial Court.

6. The petitioner shall be at liberty to move a fresh application for grant of regular bail in the event the prosecution evidence is not concluded within the timelines prescribed above.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

24.02.2026
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No