



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

CWP-38427-2025

Date of Decision: 24.03.2026

SANDEEP SINGH

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Ajay Kumar Dahiya, Advocate and
Ms. Aditi Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Deepak Vashisht, DAG Haryana

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondents No.3 and 4
(assisted by DA Vipin Kumar)

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Addl. Solicitor General(*through V.C.*) with
Mr. Karamvir Singh Kathuria, Advocate
for respondent No.6

Mr. Ravi Kamal Gupta, Advocate
for respondent-CBI

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to consider his complaints dated 10.02.2021 and 12.04.2022 against officers of Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran (for short 'HSVP').

2. The petitioner claims that respondent-HSVP in gross violation of its instructions has increased amount of many contracts beyond 25%

limit. The petitioner is a public-spirited person and he has approached authorities by way of complaints dated 10.02.2021 and 12.04.2022, however, authorities have not paid any heed.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that competent authority would examine petitioner's complaints and proceed in accordance with law if any substance is found.

4. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the respondent, the petition stands disposed of. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits.

5. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

March 24, 2026
Deepak DPA

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No