



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

128

CRM-M-72838-2025
Date of decision: 18.03.2026

TEHAL SINGH @ TAHIL SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Ms. Janya Sirohi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Senior DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant first petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 127 dated 12.05.2025 registered under Section 21 of the NDPS Act, 1985 at Police Station Anti Narcotic Task Force, District SAS Nagar.

2. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that as per the FIR, the petitioner was a pillon rider alongwith co-accused Charanjeet Singh @ Channu son of Wazir Singh from whose pocket 275 grams of Heroin had been recovered. She contends that nothing was

recovered from the petitioner. It is further contended that petitioner has clean antecedents and various arguable issue would arise as to whether the petitioner can be deemed to be in joint conscious possession of the contraband which was recovered exclusively from the pocket of the co-accused. It is further contended that the petitioner has already undergone an actual custody of nearly 10 months.

3. Learned State Counsel does not dispute the aforesaid facts and he further acknowledges that investigation in the case is complete and the chargesheet was filed on 06.02.2026. There are 21 witnesses to be examined and none has been examined so far. He also does not dispute that no contraband had been recovered from the petitioner and only recovery of Rs. 200/- has been effected from his pocket. The stage of trial and clean antecedents of the petitioner have also not denied.

4. Having heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties and taking into consideration the nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, his clean antecedents, the stage of trial and that arguable issues with respect to the culpability of the petitioner herein would arise before the trial Court, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

5. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

6. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

7. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

MARCH 18, 2026
Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No