



CRM-M-72656-2025

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

132

CRM-M-72656-2025

Date of Decision: 11.03.2026

GURJANT SINGH @ JANTA**... PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB****... RESPONDENT****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. P.S.Sekhon, Senior Advocate with
L.S.Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, Assistant A.G.Punjab

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 110 dated 30.06.2025 under Sections 109,115(2), 118(1), 118(2), 191(3), 190, 238 of BNS registered at Police Station, Dirba, District Sangrur.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with his co-accused, armed with a *gandasa*, inflicted injuries on the right hand and left elbow of one Sandeep Sharma; on the palm of the right hand of the complainant and on the right arm of one Balwant Singh.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the injuries attributed to the petitioner are simple in nature and nothing has been recovered from him. He further submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 22.07.2025 and prays for grant of regular bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner for the grant of regular bail. He has filed the status report by way of affidavit of Rupinder Kaur, PPS, Deputy Supdt. Of Police, Sub Division, Dirba, District Sangrur and the same is

taken on record. As per status report, injuries attributed to the petitioner are simple in nature.

5. I have heard the submissions made by the parties and gone through the record.

6. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last 06 months; injuries attributed to the petitioner are simple in nature, none of the witnesses has been examined so far; and the trial is yet to commence and is likely to take a considerable time to conclude. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by further incarceration of the petitioner. Moreover, it is a settled principle of law that *“bail is the rule and jail is the exception.”* Hence, this Court deems it appropriate to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

8. Nothing discussed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

9. It is clarified that if while on bail so granted through the instant order, the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case/activity, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

10. Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

11.03.2026

renu

(H.S.GREWAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No